

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,141	09/764,141 01/19/2001		Peter N. Devreotes	01107.00060	8190
22907	7590	06/07/2004		EXAMI	NER
BANNER			CHANDRA, GYAN		
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				1646	1 .
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	, /2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/764,141	DEVREOTES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gyan Chandra	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me e. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco	s action is non-final. ince except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10,26-55 and 58-7 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-25,56 and 57 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-76 are subject to restriction and/or	7 <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from	consideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/04.	Paper	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of 11-25 and 56-57 in Paper No.11 March 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-10, 26-55 and 58-76 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse based on an incomplete response.

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 56 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims specifically recite nonelected subject matter i.e., γ subunit of G protein. Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested "Heterotrimeric G-protein"

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,141

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 11-25, 56, 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a functional heterotrimeric G protein comprising an α subunit comprising a first amino acid sequence encoding a first fluorescent protein and a β subunit comprising a second amino acid sequence encoding a second fluorescent protein, wherein said first and second fluorescent proteins are capable of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), does not reasonably provide enablement for a functional heterotrimeric G protein comprising an α subunit comprising a first amino acid sequence encoding a first luminescent protein and a β subunit comprising a second amino acid sequence encoding a second luminescent protein, wherein said first and second luminescent proteins are capable of bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims are directed to α subunit and β subunit of a functional heterotrimeric G-protein. The specification teaches in general about inserting cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) into a Spe I site after the residue 90 between the α A and α B helices of the G α 2 cDNA of Dictyostelium discoideum (References and Notes 12). Inventors briefly mentioned about placing the Yellow fluorescent protein to the N-terminus to β subunit of G-heterotrimer protein and this could be a guide for a skilled person to take a next step.

Application/Control Number: 09/764,141

Art Unit: 1646

Biondi et.al. (1988) teach the method and use of green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion with two different protein subunits to obtain information on regions essential for protein function. They performed the random insertion of GFP into the cAMP-dependent protein kinase regulatory subunit from D. discoideum. Inventors performed fusion of cyan and yellow fluorescent coding sequence with the α or β subunits of G-protein. Inventors accept the idea that the insertion of CFP in the α subunit can be done at different amino acid positions. However, the inventors pointed out that the distance between the acceptor and donor fluorescent moieties should be less than 100 angstroms.

A large number of experimentation would be required to make luminescent G-protein fusions that would be capable of BRET because specification dose not provide any details on which luminescent protein to use and how to attach a luminescent protein with α or β subunits of G-protein.

The amount of guidance or direction provided by specification with regard to luminescent G-protein subunits capable of BRET is very small and would require a large amount of experimentation as Pfleger et.al. (2003) report that the BRET signal is dependent upon the spectral properties, ratio, distance and relative orientation of the donor and acceptor molecules, as well as the strength and stability of the interaction between proteins of interest.

There are no working examples directed to BRET so that one can easily translate FRET into BRET without undue experimentations. Angers et.al. (2000)

Application/Control Number: 09/764,141

Art Unit: 1646

applied the BRET technology in detecting β2-adrenergic receptor dimerization in living cells.

The state of the art with regard to BRET is evolving. A number of reports have recently been published for the use of this technology in studying protein – protein interactions (Angers et.al., 2002; Jensen et.al., 2002; Zeng et.al., 2003).

The BRET system is complex because it requires a person skilled in the field to identify which luminescent protein one needs to attach with the α subunit and which luminescent protein with the β subunit of G-protein.

It is unpredictable which luminescent G-protein subunits would be capable of BRET because bioluminescence would require an optimization of distance between the donor and acceptor bioluminescent subunits of G-protein.

The claims are very broad, in that the claims do not specify where the luminescent proteins should be attached to what positions of the α and β subunits of G-protein.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to determine a luminescent G-protein fusion such that it can be determined how to use the claimed BRET, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding same, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art establishing that the BRET assay can not be predicted based on FRET information, and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite particular luminescent protein, the specification fails to teach the skilled artisan how to make and use the claimed invention.

Page 6

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Biondi et.al., Nucl. Acids Res. 26:4946-52 (1988).
- 2. Jensen et.al., Eur. J. Biochem. 269:5076-87 (2002).
- 3. Zeng et.al., Mol. Pharmacol. 64: 1474-84 (2003).
- 4. Pfleger et.al., Pituitary 6:141-51 (2003).
- 5. Angers et.al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 97: 3684-89 (2000).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/764,141

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gyan Chandra whose telephone number is (571)272-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GC AU 1646 1June 2004

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elyabeth C. Kennen